

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	.]	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/677,526 09/29/2000		09/29/2000	Ryan Robertson	24530.00400	1776		
49637	49637 7590 01/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER			
		IATES P.C.	EWART, JAMES D				
9255 SUNSET BOULEVARD SUITE 810				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANG	ELES, CA	90069		2683			
				DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006	DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
09/677,526	ROBERTSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
James D. Ewart	2683	

	James D. Ewart	2003							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 30 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).		IRST REPLY WAS FILE	OWT NIHTIW C						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explore a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			oecause						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet _ appeal; and/or 	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	-	ejected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)						
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		omphant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>3,7-9,12,13,15-18,21-23 and 26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .		ill be entered and an	explanation of						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after (entry is below or attac	nea.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see following page for responses to the arguements.	t does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)							
10. L. Oulet									

Application/Control Number: 09/677,526

Art Unit: 2683

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed December 30, 2005 have been fully considered but are not convincing. Both the Applicant and Chmaytelli teach a combined PDA and wireless telephone that is handheld and is a mobile computer. Both the Applicant and Chmaytelli teach using a switch to manipulate the call based on whether a device is connected or disconnected to the PDA. However, instead of checking whether the speaker is connected to determine whether the cellular phone is off or on, the connection of the stylus is checked to determine whether the cellular phone is enabled or disabled see Column 1, Lines 44-46. Applicant's art is a slight modification in which the connection of the speaker/earplug/headset is checked to determine whether the cellular phone is enabled or disabled. Watanabe teaches using a switch to manipulate the call based on whether an earplug is connected or disconnected to the phone. Examiner has used the Watanabe et al reference to teach the limitation of checking the status of an earplug connection to a phone.

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600